

Message

From: Yorlano, Joseph (BER) [/O=COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS/OU=MASSMAIL-01/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=JYORLANO]
Sent: 8/11/2010 12:35:03 PM
To: Farak, Sonja (DPH) [/O=COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS/OU=MassMail-01/cn=Recipients/cn=Sonja.Farak]
Subject: RE: v. [REDACTED]

I'm happy to know that I'm not the only ADA that is a pain in the butt with these summons! So I will remain cautiously optimistic that there is no conflict for the 27th. If that changes, just let me know and I'll make sure to move the case.

Thank you again.

Joe

P.S. I hope my wife is not so all over the board when she summons you for a case in Springfield.

From: Farak, Sonja (DPH)
Sent: Wednesday, August 11, 2010 8:26 AM
To: Yorlano, Joseph (BER)
Subject: RE: v. [REDACTED]

Hi Joe-

OK, I don't know if the ADA in Springfield has a clue what is going on. He keeps confusing the defendants/dates (I think). He just emailed me again and said that the trial is for 10/20 and not 10/27, even though yesterday he corrected me when I emailed him about the 20th. So I **think** there will not be a problem with me being in Pittsfield on 10/27 (you can read our correspondence below and try to figure it out yourself if you want).

-Sonja

From: Chretien, Tim (WES)
Sent: Wednesday, August 11, 2010 8:12 AM
To: Farak, Sonja (DPH)
Subject: RE: v. [REDACTED]

No, it's not. Let me try this again:

[REDACTED] (your case) is on 10/20/10 for trial. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] (James Hanchett) is on 10/27/10 for trial. [REDACTED]

I'll see what I can do about the [REDACTED] date.
Tim

From: Farak, Sonja (DPH)
Sent: Wednesday, August 11, 2010 8:06 AM
To: Chretien, Tim (WES)
Subject: RE: v. [REDACTED]

I don't think I've received a summons for the [REDACTED] trial yet - is it one of my cases?

-Sonja

From: Chretien, Tim (WES)
Sent: Wednesday, August 11, 2010 7:53 AM
To: Farak, Sonja (DPH)
Subject: RE: v. [REDACTED]

Sonja,

I mixed up my defendants. [REDACTED] is on for 10/27. However there is a motion to suppress on 9/23 which may end up moving the date. [REDACTED] is the defendant on for 10/20. Sorry for the mix up. I will see if I can move the 10/20 case.

Tim

From: Farak, Sonja (DPH)
Sent: Wednesday, August 11, 2010 7:44 AM
To: Chretien, Tim (WES)
Subject: RE: v. [REDACTED]

The summons I received via fax on July 21st states that the court date is October 20, 2010, not October 27th. If the correct date is 10/27, then I've only got one other trial scheduled that day, but it is in Pittsfield. I haven't contacted the ADA in Pittsfield yet to let him know I received the summons and my availability that day, so I will let him know that I have a conflict with your case (they are pretty accommodating in Pittsfield, esp. with this much notice). Just confirm the correct date for me. Thanks.

-Sonja

From: Chretien, Tim (WES)
Sent: Tuesday, August 10, 2010 4:12 PM
To: Farak, Sonja (DPH)
Subject: RE: v. [REDACTED]

You mean 10/27, right? If there are judges then this will probably be a trial. We've worked on a plea on 2 prior occasions, but the defendant is not willing to take our offer of a school zone drop. I'll let you know if anything changes. Thanks.

Tim

From: Farak, Sonja (DPH)
Sent: Tuesday, August 10, 2010 2:52 PM
To: Chretien, Tim (WES)
Subject: RE: v. [REDACTED]

Tim-

I received your summons for the [REDACTED] trial scheduled for October 20th and wanted to let you know that I'm not sure if I'll be available. I've got 6 different cases scheduled for that day (including yours) in Springfield, Westfield, and Northampton. It is fairly certain that they all won't go (continued, plea, etc.), but I have no way of knowing which ones this far in advance. I will keep you informed when I know anything more certain.

-Sonja

Sonja Farak
Drug Analysis Lab

